UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,196	04/22/2004	Eiichi Matsuzaki	02910.101387	02910.101387 5549	
5514 7590 05/23/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER		
			YENKE, BRIAN P		
NEW YORK, I	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/829,19	6	MATSUZAKI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		BRIAN P.	/ENKE	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and will ute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be timed expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ele	ection/Amendi	ment (10 May 07).					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>9-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
. —	The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 April 2004</u> is/are:		d or b)⊠ objected to	by the Examiner.				
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	see the attached detailed office detail for a n		iou copico natrocont					
Attachmen	t(c)							
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/05; 7/08/04; 4/22/04</u> .		6) Other:	atent Application				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/829,196

Art Unit: 2622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-8 and 17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species/invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10 May 07.

Applicant's election with traverse of Election/Restriction in the reply filed on 10 May 07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be an undue burden on the Examiner to examine all the pending claims. This is not found persuasive because there is a plethora amount of prior art in the field of converting interlaced to progressive being performed via motion detection/information, thereby being a burden to search multiple inventions in such art.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## **Drawings**

2. Figures 9-14 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/829,196 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daigi et al., WO 02/37847.

In considering claims 9 and 18,

- a) the claimed video information... is met by field memories 1 and 2 (Fig 1)
- b) the claimed reference pixel motion generating...is met by difference judgement circuit 5 and intermediate value selection circuit 4 (Fig 1, in detail Fig 3)
- c) the claimed reference pixel motion information storing... is met by intermediate value selection circuit 4 which receives/holds the motion information in order to select which interpolation is performed
- d) the claimed intrafield interpolation pixel motion information generating means...is met by interpolation circuit 3 (Fig 1)
- e) the claimed interfield interpolation pixel motion information determining means... is met by the interpolation output of adder 33 and the interpolation value output from adder 34 (Fig 3).
- f) the claimed selecting...is met by intermediate value selection circuit (Fig 1) which includes a selection circuit 36 (Fig 3) which selects one of the interfield interpolation values or the intrafield interpolation value based upon the motion information from judgement circuit 5.

In considering claims 10-11,

Daigi discloses a intermediate value selection circuit 4 which includes an interfield interpolation means met by the output of adder 33 and adder 34 (Fig 3), which detects the amount of motion/difference between frames.

In considering claim 12,

Daigi discloses that intermediate value selection circuit in addition to the difference judgement circuit determines whether the image is moving or still and selects the appropriate interpolation method.

In considering claim 13,

Application/Control Number: 10/829,196

Art Unit: 2622

Daigi discloses interpolation circuit 3 (intrafield), intermediate value selection circuit 4 which

receives the output from judgement circuit 5 and also includes two interfield interpolators (via adder 33

and 34) and the selection is made/determined based upon the image being a still image or moving image.

In considering claims 14-16,

Daigi discloses the computing/storing of at least 3 fields (meeting the one frame) wherein based

upon the amount of motion or not will determine the interpolation performed (intrafield or interfield).

In considering claim 17,

Daigi discloses (Fig 3) wherein the interpolation pixel motion information output from interpolation

circuit 3, interpolation adder 33, interpolation adder 34 are provided to the selection circuit without

modification, meeting the claimed "without modification".

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.

In the even the applicant amends or disagrees with the above rejection, the examiner would like

the applicant to distinguish the X references cited on the European search report, in order to expedite

prosecution.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is

Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor,

David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(703)305-HELP. '

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents.

Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS

Application/Control Number: 10/829,196

Art Unit: 2622

also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.P.Y / 17 May 2007

BRIAN P. YENKE